
## Brackenridge trails: "On the trails" Interest group input The Greenridge initiative

## Slides:

- Southern section 1
- Problems noted on paths 20 March 2024
- Photo examples
- Path mapping with names to be digitized
- Northern section 2
- Problems noted on paths 20 March 2024
- Photo examples
- Path mapping with names to be digitized



- 4+ m where firebreak meets path – is firebreak against property? See photo
- Charming 1m pathway –Good example see photo
- 3. 1.5 m unnecessarily wide
- 4. 2+m wide v close to 12 m perimeter clearing, not on boundary of private//common see photo
- Double firebelt ??- on boundary and continuation of traverse path
- 6. Stack of cleared vegetation impinging walking on path to be moved or compressed?



//1Where fire break meet hiking path – 4+m wide – can be rehabilitated?



//3 Path unnecessarily wide





//4 – wide pathway – about 2m– adj to perimeter firebreak – can this be narrowed?



//2 Good example of 1m wide path

//5 – parallel firebreak ending at BG 62???-No photo Other names Willolip





- 7. Path about 2.5 m and being used by construction see photo 8. Boekenhout link cut but not mapped should be narrowed down see map 2 9. Does this access point exist?
- 10. Some grass starting to grow in surface of road good
- 11. No repair done to edges despite promise.See photo
- 12. Two Forest paths not mapped see photo and paths map2
- 13. Generally good width –should not be wider14 Can this be mowed intoan official path? Is itthrough public nice link



//7. Access path from Watsonia Hts used by construction vehicles – to be rehabilitated



NOT ON MAP Circle with lollipops and table tops – induction needed for HfH – same for Circle 1 & 4




//10 Access Rd from OV (betwn 14 and 16 – some grass starting to cover surface at start (above)



//11 Zero progress on rehabilitation of road
edge as promised (below)









Paths as they should be – Forest Walk – 1m wide.

